

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

4

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/443,038	11/18/1999	JAMES MCCROSSIN	11324/1	6686	
7	590 09/27/2002				
SHAWN W (D'DOWD		EXAMINER		
•	ARLOS STREET		BERGIN, JAMES S		
SAN JOSE, CA	A 95110		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3624	3624	
			DATE MAILED: 09/27/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	7
Advisory Action	09/443,038	MCCROSSIN ET AL.	\sim
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	James S. Bergin	3624	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	;
THE REPLY FILED 06 September 2002 FAILS TO PLA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appearamentation (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applice I) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply t ch places the application	to a on in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moterned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See M I 36(a) and the appropriate extension the final Office action; or (2) a	MPEP ension fee on fee under as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	s Brief must be filed within the p R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal	period set forth in of the appeal.	•
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		•
(a) 🛮 they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search ((see NOTE below);	
(b) \square they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simp	olifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejections:	tion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed an	nendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NOT p	place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were r	newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			l an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,7,9,10,14-19,23 and 24</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 4-6,8,11-13			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is		-	r.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·	
10. Other:			

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amendment filed 9/6/2002 replacing the "associated" language of independent claims 1, 16 and 17 with the "identified" language raises a new issue that would require further consideration and searching at this late stage of the prosecution.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINEST TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600